



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,087	08/28/2001	Casey C. Case	8325-0002.21	7166
7590		12/03/2004	EXAMINER	
Sean M. Brennan		BRUSCA, JOHN S		
Sangamo BioSciences, Inc.		ART UNIT		
501 Canal Blvd		PAPER NUMBER		
Suite A 100		1631		
Richmond, CA 94804		DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/942,087	Applicant(s) CASE ET AL.	
	Examiner John S. Brusca	Art Unit 1631	

All Participants:

(1) John S. Brusca (USPTO).

(2) Sean Brennan.

Date of Interview: 30 November 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
none

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

JOHN S. BRUSCA, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER

J.S. Brusca 1 December 2004
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The petition decision mailed 17 May 2004 stated that an Information Disclosure Statement was filed by the applicants on 14 May 2004, however no Information Disclosure Statement of that date appears in the application file. The applicants were asked in an Information Disclosure Statement was filed on 14 May 2004. The applicants stated that no Information Disclosure Statement was filed on 14 May 2004. The statement regarding an Information Disclosure Statement filed 14 May 2004 is incorrect..